

Hornsea Project Four: Derogation Information

PINS Document Reference: B2.8.2

APFP Regulation: 5(2)(q)

Volume B2, Annex 8.2: Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Bycatch Reduction: Roadmap

PreparedGoBe Consultants Limited, September 2021CheckedSarah Randall Orsted, September 2021AcceptedFrancesca De Vita Orsted, September 2021ApprovedJulian Carolan, Orsted September 2021

Doc. No: B2.8.2 Version: A



Table of Contents

1	Introd	luction	5
2	Descr	iption and scope	5
3	Indica	tive timescale for delivery and implementation	7
4	Consu	ultation	8
	4.1	Pre-examination	8
	4.2	Post-consent	8
5	Desig	n and implementation of the bycatch reduction project — Initial technology	gy selection phase
	5.2	Implementation of the Bycatch Reduction Technology	10
6	Monit	oring and adaptive management	10
	6.2	Monitoring	10
	6.3	Adaptive Management	11
7	Legal	agreement(s)	11
8	Draft	DCO wording	11
9	Fundir	ng	15
10	Concl	usion	16
11	Refere	ences	17
		of Tables	-
1 ab	ιe ⊥: In	dicative timescale for delivery and implementation	/



Glossary

Term	Definition					
Compensation /	If an Adverse Effect on the Integrity on a designated site is determined during the					
Compensatory Measures	Secretary of State's Appropriate Assessment, compensatory measures for the					
	impacted site (and relevant features) will be required. The term compensatory					
	measures is not defined in the Habitats Regulations. Compensatory measures are					
	however, considered to comprise those measures which are independent of the					
	project, including any associated mitigation measures, and are intended to offset the					
	negative effects of the plan or project so that the overall ecological coherence of the					
	national site network is maintained.					
Development Consent	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for one or					
Order (DCO)	more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP).					
Offshore Ornithology	The Hornsea Four Offshore Ornithology Engagement Group means the group that will					
Engagement Group	assist, through consultation the undertaker in relation to the delivery of each					
(OOEG)	compensation measures as identified in the gannet and kittiwake compensation plan					
	and the gannet razorbill and guillemot compensation plan. Matters to be consulted					
	upon to be determined by the Applicant and will include site selection, project/study					
	design, methodology for implementing the measure, monitoring, and adaptive					
	management options as set out in the gannet and kittiwake compensation plan and					
	the gannet razorbill and guillemot compensation plan.					
Planning Inspectorate	The agency responsible for operating the planning process for Nationally Significant					
(PINS)	Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs).					

Doc. No: B2.8.2 Ver. no. A



Acronyms

Definition
Contracts for Difference
Development Consent Order
Flamborough and Filey Coast
Final Investment Decision
Gannet, Guillemot and Razorbill Compensation Implementation and Monitoring Plan
Looming Eye Buoy
Marine Management Organisation
Non-Governmental Organisation
National Federation of Fisheries Organisation
Offshore Ornithology Engagement Group
Planning Inspectorate
Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies
Secretary of State
Special Protection Area
United Kingdom



1 Introduction

1.1.1.1 This Guillemot, Razorbill and Gannet Bycatch Reduction Roadmap document provides an overview of the anticipated next steps for implementation of bycatch reduction as a compensation measure for Hornsea Four, if deemed necessary by the Secretary of State following the Appropriate Assessment. It should be noted that this is a 'live' document and, should compensation be required, it will be added to or revised as the Development Consent Order (DCO) application for Hornsea Four progresses. This roadmap sets out a clear pathway to demonstrate that the compensation measure can be secured and that the mechanism for delivery of the compensation measure can be implemented.

2 Description and scope

- 2.1.1.1 Bycatch reduction forms part of a suite of compensation measures also including predator eradication and fish habitat enhancement to compensate for the number of seabirds, specifically razorbills, guillemots and gannet that may be at risk of displacement from operation of the Hornsea Four Wind Farm. The Applicant proposes to support the overall numbers of these birds through the reduction of bird bycatch in selected UK fisheries with connectivity to the colonies within the biogeographic region. Seabirds are at risk from multiple anthropogenic threats, including as bycatch in UK fishing activities (Miles et al., 2020). Bycatch the incidental capture of non-target species in fisheries can present a significant pressure on seabird populations (Miles et al., 2020). Within recent decades, seabird populations have plummeted, largely due to commercial fisheries (direct competition and bycatch) (Croxall et al., 2012). It has been estimated globally that hundreds of thousands of seabirds are killed each year in gillnets (400,000; Žydelis et al., 2013) and longline fisheries (320,000; Anderson et al., 2011). Despite this, monitoring of the issue is lacking with onboard observer monitoring coverage relatively low compared to the scale of commercial fishing (Pott and Wiedenfeld, 2017).
- 2.1.1.2 The reduction of bird bycatch will be achieved through the use of deterrent equipment attached to fishing nets at regular intervals. There are multiple types of reduction techniques that can be used to reduce the interaction between birds and fishing equipment. Bycatch reduction techniques are designed to be suited to specific gear types and bycatch species. Defra and Cefas' joint Clean Catch initiative recommends bird bycatch reduction measures including modifications to fishing gear, changes to fishing and processing techniques, and devices for attachment to fishing gear. The proposed reduction methods considered as part of a suite of compensation measures are above water deterrents, net lights, and net panels. Above water deterrents are usually fixed to buoys or markers attached to set fishing gear, which work to scare birds away from fishing nets. Looming Eye Buoys are one of the most highly developed forms of above water deterrent, which have been developed and trialled by BirdLife International in conjunction with Fishtek Marine. The Applicant will pursue consideration of bycatch reduction measures and trials of the Looming Eye Buoys (LEB) to further examine the efficacy of the LEB as a bycatch reduction measure. The Evidence Report B2.8.1 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Bycatch Reduction: Ecological Evidence sets out the ecological evidence for bycatch reduction measures and supports likely successful compensation measures.
- 2.1.1.3 A bycatch technology selection phase is planned for 2021-23, to gather further evidence on the efficacy of the selected bycatch reduction technology. The technology taken forward



as part of the compensation measure will be selected from this phase. The implementation of the bycatch compensation measure is flexible and scalable depending on the outcome of the bycatch technology selection phase and other compensation measures proposed. Work will be undertaken with local representatives and contacts within the target fishery areas (determined by fisheries consultation, published literature (i.e., Northridge et al., (2020) and bycatch risk mapping undertaken by the Applicant and presented in **B2.8.1 Compensation** measures for FFC SPA: Bycatch Reduction: Ecological Evidence) to ensure uptake of the bycatch reduction equipment. It is acknowledged by the Applicant that use of the equipment may need to be incentivised to ensure uptake and continued usage.

- 2.1.1.4 To ensure that the equipment continues to be used and that further evidence can be gathered to confirm the success of the measures, a monitoring programme will be required during the operational use of the technology, should they be taken forward as a compensation measure. There are many examples of fishing gear monitoring around the world, which include but are not limited to onboard observers, gear cameras, self-reporting, blue-tooth tags and equipment trackers. The exact method of monitoring will be decided based upon further evidence gathering and discussion with industry experts.
- 2.1.1.5 Hornsea Four is expected to operate for 35 years following construction. If required, the accepted measure(s) will be used and monitored throughout the operational lifespan of the Wind Farm. Following the monitoring programme, overall measure uptake and success of the reduction measure, the equipment may continue to be used as a bycatch deterrent.
- 2.1.1.6 Having 12 operational windfarms in UK waters, the Applicant, has a longstanding relationship with the UK fishing industry, especially on the east and west coasts of England where positive and trusting relationships have been built over time. The Applicant also supports local fishing industries, proving good-will funding to fishers, and related organisations, that work within the vicinity of their wind farms which has further encouraged good relationships. The Applicant has a track record of encouraging co-existence between renewable energy development and the fishing industry and are often used as an example of best practise between the industries. The Applicant is positive that their pre-existing relationship with the fishing industry and representatives will aid the technology selection phase and compensation measure implementation.
- 2.1.1.7 The Applicant has started, and will continue, to enhance connections and relationships with fishers in regions of England, where netting activity is high. The Applicant has a high degree of confidence in the feasibility of delivering the compensation measure. Throughout the development of the technology selection phase and compensation implementation the Applicant will seek to further strengthen fisheries engagement, collaboration and relationships in the Southeast and Southwest.
- 2.1.1.8 The applicant will take an appropriately precautionary approach for assessment work in order to increase the biogeographic population of adult birds by a sufficient margin to offset the predicted impact of Hornsea Four on an annual basis (see Table 2 of B2.6 RP Volume B2 Chapter 6 Compensation measures for FFC SPA Overview). The bycatch reduction measures will be used to compensate as part of a suite of measures. It is considered that guillemot, and razorbill can be sufficiently compensated through a suite of measures:
 - Bycatch reduction;



- Predator eradication; and
- Fish habitat enhancement (as a resilience measure).
- 2.1.1.9 Gannet will be compensated through the suite of bycatch and fish habitat enhancement compensation measures, in addition to artificial nesting structures.
- 2.1.1.10 Therefore, the bycatch reduction measures, could collectively with the compensation measures, be scaled up to provide a ratio of 1:2. The reduction in mortality through the implementation of the suite of measures collectively are capable of over-compensation of 26 gannet, 70 guillemot and 3 razorbill to compensate for the estimated potential impact of Hornsea Four (see Table 2 of B2.6: Compensation Measures for FFC SPA Overview).
- 2.1.1.11 Based upon a precautionary assessment the Applicant would consider provision of bycatch reduction measures across 7 vessels which would be confirmed following the bycatch reduction trial, in addition to the further additional measures as part of the compensation measures package (see 2.1.1.8). Following the bycatch technology selection phase the number of vessels (and extent of the predator eradication programme) may increase or decrease depending on the level of success of the bycatch trial. These compensation measures have the benefit of being flexible and scalable to enable successful delivery of the compensation.

3 Indicative timescale for delivery and implementation

3.1.1.1 The high-level anticipated programme presented below (Table 1) is applicable to the implementation and delivery of the bycatch reduction compensation measure. Implementation of compensation measures will be subject to successful progression of the Hornsea Four project and determination of the need for compensation by the SoS. The decision on the requirement for and the scale of the suite of measures and inclusion of the predator eradication measure will be subject to the outcome of monitoring of the bycatch reduction technology selection phase to be determined in 2023. The timing of implementation of the bycatch reduction compensation measure is provisional as the timeframe for Examination, consent award, reaching final investment decision (FID) and Contracts for Difference Allocation Round Five, have not yet been set. The programme has been carefully considered to ensure timely delivery of the compensation measure.

Table 1: Indicative timescale for delivery and implementation

Activity	Year	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
Design of technology selection phase	2021								
Bycatch technology selection phase	2021 2023								
Scale and package consideration	2023								
Anticipated Hornsea Four DCO Granted	2023								



Activity	Year	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
Compensation	2022/2023-								
Implementation ¹	TBC								
Bycatch implementation detailed design	2024								
Establishment of Offshore	Following								
Ornithology Engagement Group (OOEG)	consent award								
Gannet, Guillemot and Razorbill Compensation Implementation and Monitoring Plan (GGRIMP)	Following consent award								
GGRIMP submitted to SoS	Following consent award								
Offshore Construction of Hornsea Four Foundations	2026								
Offshore Construction of Hornsea Four Offshore Turbines	2027								
First Power (partially operational windfarm)	2028								

4 Consultation

4.1 Pre-examination

4.1.1.1 Technology selection for bycatch reduction is planned for 2021-23 to determine the most feasible technique to progress and implement (see Section 5 for further details). This technology selection phase is being designed in consultation with industry experts, the SNCB and the RSPB. Initial advice was sought to influence the methodology of the technology selection phase. It is planned that further consultation will be undertaken with these parties ahead of examination, as the technology selection phase is progressing, to review the implementation of the bycatch reduction techniques, along with the Applicant's proposed bycatch reduction measure.

4.2 Post-consent

- 4.2.1.1 A steering group named the OOEG shall be convened by the Applicant to assist the design, implementation, reporting, any necessary adaptive management and other relevant matters of the compensation measures as determined by the Applicant. The OOEG core members would be the relevant SNCB(s) and the MMO. The RSPB and NFFO would also be invited to form part of the OOEG as advisors. The purpose of this group would be to help shape and inform the nature and delivery of the compensation post consent.
- 4.2.1.2 A Gannet, Guillemot and Razorbill Implementation and Monitoring Plan (GGRIMP) will be

¹ Due to the uncertainty regarding Allocation Round 5 of the Contracts for Difference (CfD) scheme the date cannot be confirmed at this time.



produced. The GGRIMP (following the content in the outline GGRIMP (B2.8.7 Outline Gannet Guillemot and Razorbill Compensation Implementation and Monitoring Plan)) will document all of the proposed compensation measures for gannet, guillemot and razorbill (including mechanisms and programme for delivery, monitoring, adaptive management, reporting). The OOEG will be consulted during development of the GGRIMP. The GGRIMP will be submitted to the Secretary of State for approval.

- 4.2.1.3 The implementation phase will involve consultation with stakeholders via the OOEG process to ensure cooperation across the monitoring aspects of the compensation measure. The proposed implementation process described below will be documented in the GGRIMP and will be submitted to the Secretary of State for approval.
- 4.2.1.4 The implementation of the compensation measures will be monitored to ensure that the bycatch reduction method is being applied in accordance with the GGRIMP. The details of the monitoring phase of the compensation measure will be discussed with the OOEG and will be set out within the GGRIMP for approval by the Secretary of State.
- 4.2.1.5 Monitoring will inform any adaptive management required by the compensation measure and will be discussed with OOEG members before implementation. This will be continued until Hornsea Four has been decommissioned or a determination is made by the Secretary of State following consultation with the relevant statutory nature conservation body, that compensation is no longer required.
- 4.2.1.6 Reporting of the results of implementation of the compensation measure will be carried out according to timescales discussed with the OOEG and set out in the GGRIMP.

5 Design and implementation of the bycatch reduction project — Initial technology selection phase

- 5.1.1.1 The Applicant has identified locations in UK waters with high bycatch. This has been determined by the risk mapping process outlined within the Guillemot and Razorbill Bycatch Evidence Report (B2.8.1 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Bycatch Reduction: Ecological Evidence), consultation with fisheries and other relevant stakeholders. The south east and south west locations will form the basis of any potential bycatch reduction technology selection, further bycatch sampling (if necessary) and potentially the location of the compensation measure. The scale of compensation relative to the location specific factors (i.e., number of vessels to be included in the bycatch reduction technology selection and implementation) along with the methodology would be defined in consultation with the SNCB, the RSPB and relevant industry stakeholders.
- 5.1.1.2 The Applicant will commence bycatch technology selection using the LEB in 2021. The Applicant has entered into a supply contract for the supply of the LEB equipment, has put the necessary contractual arrangements in place for payments to be made to the participating fishers to use the technology, has arranged for the necessary monitoring during the bycatch technology phase and has undertaken stakeholder engagement which will continue during the phase.
- 5.1.1.3 The Applicant will consider undertaking technology selection of other potentially suitable



bycatch reduction techniques, such as net illumination, visual net modifications (reflective nets and warning panels) and other above water deterrents (as identified in the Bycatch Evidence Report B2.8.1 Compensation measures for FFC SPA: Bycatch Reduction: Ecological Evidence). The LEB currently presents the most promising potential for bycatch reduction in UK waters as identified in discussions with relevant stakeholders, and so will form the focus of the initial selection phase. This will involve at-sea deployment of bycatch reduction technology within an active fishery. This phase will involve control nets as well as experimental nets where the technology will be trialled. The methodology of the selection phase has been developed in conjunction with delivery partners, advisors (such as NGO's and fisheries stakeholders) and bycatch reduction technology developers to ensure best practice and a robust approach, which does not cause any safety or working implications for fishers.

5.2 Implementation of the Bycatch Reduction Technology

- 5.2.1.1 Following the selection phase, a final bycatch reduction technology, or combination of technologies, will be selected for the compensation measure. Members of the OOEG will be consulted on the final fishery/fisheries location. Relevant fisheries stakeholder discussions will also be undertaken.
- 5.2.1.2 The approach taken to the delivery of bycatch reduction will be discussed with the OOEG as part of the development of the GGRIMP, taking into account the considerations of fisheries stakeholders and any relevant additional consideration of location specific issues.
- 5.2.1.3 The implementation of the bycatch reduction compensation measure will be overseen by a suitably qualified delivery partner such as a commercial fisherman/ technical specialist contractor.

6 Monitoring and adaptive management

6.1.1.1 A detailed monitoring and adaptive management protocol will be provided in the Gannet, Guillemot and Razorbill Implementation and Monitoring Plan. This will be produced in consultation with OOEG members and other relevant parties so it is fit for purpose.

6.2 Monitoring

- 6.2.1.1 A monitoring package shall be designed with the delivery partner and the OOEG. Monitoring will focus on the progress and confirmation of a reduction in bycatch numbers for gannet, guillemot and razorbill. This would be informed by the bycatch technology selection phase (comparing the bycatch rate in the control nets to the experimental nets). The monitoring of results will be dependent on the implementation method. However, reduction monitoring for bycatch of other taxa is well known and synergies can be drawn and incorporated into the monitoring relevant to gannet, guillemot and razorbill. This would be developed with experienced stakeholders from both a conservation and fisheries background to ensure monitoring requirements are met.
- 6.2.1.2 Monitoring will continue for the operational phase of the compensation measure, at a frequency and method to be detailed in the GGRIMP. It is envisaged that the delivery partner would lead the monitoring component of this measure.



6.3 Adaptive Management

6.3.1.1 Adaptive management is an iterative, post-consent process which combines management measures and subsequent monitoring with the aim of improving effectiveness whilst also updating knowledge and improving decision making over time. An adaptive management plan would be produced and outlined in the GGRIMP, which would list a set of options to ensure the long-term resilience of the measure. This process would be developed in consultation with the OOEG. If it becomes clear that some of the assumptions relating to key parameters that influence the establishment of the measure are not being realised as anticipated, adaptive management measures will be implemented to improve effectiveness and another technique or fishery type may be trialled and chosen for bycatch reduction, in consultation with the OOEG.

7 Legal agreement(s)

- 7.1.1.1 In order to undertake the bycatch reduction technology selection phase, the Applicant has entered into a supply contract with the bycatch reduction technology provider Fishtek Marine and the monitoring technology provider Seascope Fisheries Research Ltd. The agreements include provisions governing the supply and deployment of the technology, intellectual property rights relating to the specific technology, collection and dissemination of the data amongst other obligations to ensure the timely execution of the technology selection phase.
- 7.1.1.2 The contract requires the monitoring technology provider Seascope Fisheries Research Ltd to enter into individual agreements with fishers participating in the trial incorporating a payment and outlining the obligations on both parties to cover monitoring and the collection of data.
- 7.1.1.3 Following the bycatch technology selection phase it is anticipated that the technology that is deemed to have generated the most favourable outcomes will form the compensation measure. A long-term supply contract will be entered into to supply the technology and ensure its ongoing maintenance. In addition, the Applicant will enter into long term individual agreements with fishers to pay an annual sum for utilising the technology on their boats and monitoring bycatch.
- 7.1.1.4 The Applicant has confirmed that a marine licence would not be required for the selection phase or future implementation of the technology as the LEB forms part of the fishing equipment used by the fishers, and fishing is an exempted activity from marine licensing.

8 Draft DCO wording

Schedule []

Ornithology Compensation Measures

PART 1



The Hornsea Four Offshore Ornithological Engagement Group

1. In this Schedule:

"The FFC" means the site designated as the Flamborough and Filey Coast Special Protection Area;

"the gannet and kittiwake compensation plan" means the document certified as the gannet and kittiwake compensation plan by the Secretary of State for the purposes of this Order under article 38 (certification of plans and documents etc.);

"the gannet guillemot and razorbill compensation plan" means the document certified as the gannet razorbill and guillemot compensation plan by the Secretary of State for the purposes of this Order under article 38 (certification of plans and documents etc.);

"the Hornsea Four Offshore Ornithology Engagement Group" or "H4 OOEG" means the group that will assist, through consultation, the undertaker in the delivery of the compensation measures identified in the gannet and kittiwake compensation plan and the gannet razorbill and guillemot compensation plan;

"the offshore compensation measures" means, as the context requires, bycatch reduction and/or the offshore nesting structure(s); and

"the onshore compensation measures" means, as the context requires, predator eradication and/or predator control measures and/or the onshore nesting structure(s).

- 2. Work Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 together with any associated development offshore may not be commenced until a plan for the work of the "H4 OOEG" has been submitted to and approved by the Secretary of State. Such plan to include:
 - a) terms of reference of the H4 OOEG;
 - b) details of the membership of the H4 OOEG which must include:
 - i. the MMO and the relevant statutory nature conservation body as core members for offshore compensation measures and
 - ii. the relevant local planning authority and statutory nature conservation body as core members for onshore compensation measures;
 - iii. the RSPB and The Wildlife Trust and the National Federation of Fishermens Organisations as advisory members, for both onshore compensation measures and/or offshore compensation measures subject to their area of expertise;
 - c) details of the proposed schedule of meetings, timetable for preparation of the gannet and kittiwake implementation and monitoring plan ("the KGIMP") and the gannet, guillemot and razorbill implementation and monitoring plan ("GGRIMP") and reporting and review periods;
 - d) the dispute resolution mechanism and confidentiality provisions;



e) the scope of the H4 OOEG to be limited to the topics for discussion as identified by the Applicant as chair of the H4 OOEG to include in relation to each compensation measure, site selection, project/study design, methodology for implementing the measure, monitoring and adaptive management options.

PART 2

Gannet and Kittiwake Compensation Measures

- 3. The GKIMP must be submitted to the Secretary of State for approval in consultation with the MMO and relevant statutory nature conservation body for offshore compensation measures (if required), and with Natural England and the relevant local planning authority for onshore compensation measures (if required). The KGIMP must be based on the strategy for gannet and kittiwake compensation set out in the gannet and kittiwake compensation plan and include:
 - a) details of locatons where compensation measures will be deployed, and in the event onshore structures are required, details of landowner agreements and in the event new offshore structures are required, details of the seabed agreements with the relevant owner of the foreshore;
 - details of designs of artifical nesting structure(s); and how risks from avian or mammalian predation and for onshore nesting structures how unauthorised human access will be mitigated;
 - c) an implementation timetable for delivery of the artificial nesting structure, such timetable to ensure that in the event of the implementation of:
 - a new or repurposed onshore or offshore structure that does not host an existing colony, the structure is in place to allow for two kittiwake and gannet breeding seasons prior to operation of any turbine forming part of the authorised development; or
 - ii. a repurposed onshore or offshore structure that hosts an existing colony the structure is in place to allow for one kittiwake and gannet breeding season prior to operation of any turbine forming part of the authorised development;

For the purposes of this paragraph each breeding season is assumed to have commenced on 1 April in each year and ended on 31st August.

- d) details of the proposed ongoing monitoring of the measures including: survey methods; survey programmes and colony and productivity counts;
- e) recording of H4 OOEG consultations;
- details of any adaptive management measures, with details of the factors used to trigger any such measures;
- g) provision for reporting to the Secretary of State, to include details of the use of each site by breeding kittiwake and gannet to identify barriers to success and target any adaptive management measures;
- h) details of the artificial nesting site maintenance schedule for the articial nesting structure; and
- i) in the event that the undertaker must implement bycatch reduction measures for gannet the information listed in paragraph 9(b)
- 4. The undertaker must construct the compensation measures as set out in the GKIMP approved by the Secretary of State.



- 5. The undertaker must notify the Secretary of State of completion of implementation of the measures set out in the GKIMP.
- 6. The artificial nest structure must not be decommissioned without prior written approval of the Secretary of State.
- 7. The GKIMP approved under this Schedule includes any amendments that may subsequently be approved in writing by the Secretary of State. Any amendments to or variations of the approved KGIMP must be in accordance with the principles set out in the gannet and kittiwake compensation plan and may only be approved where it has been demonsrated to the sastisfaction of the Secretary of State that it is unlikely to give rise to any materially new or matterially different environmental effects from those considered in the gannet and kittiwake compensation plan.

PART 3

Gannet Guillemot and Razorbill Compensation Measures

- 8. The GGRIMP must be submitted to the Secretary of State for approval in consultation with the MMO and the relevant statutory nature conservation body for offshore compensation measures, and with the relevant statutory nature conservation body and the relevant local planning authority and relevant conservation trusts for onshore compensation measures. The GGRIMP must be based on the strategy for gannet, guillemot and razorbill compensation set out in the gannet guillemot and razorbill compensation plan and include:
 - a) in the event that the undertaker must implement predator eradication and/or predator control measures
 - i. details of locatons where compensation measures will be deployed;
 - ii. details of how any necessary access rights, licences and approvals have or will be obtained and any biosecurity measures will or have been secured;
 - iii. an implementation timetable for delivery of the predator eradication and/or predator control measure that ensures that the measure has been implemented two years prior to operation of any turbine forming part of the authorised development;
 - iv. proposals for monitoring and reporting on the effectiveness of the measures, including productivity rates; breeding population and distribution of breeding birds;
 - v. recording of H4 OOEG consultations;
 - vi. details of any adaptive management measures, with details of the factors used to trigger any such measures; and
 - vii. provision for reporting to the Secretary of State, to include details of the use of each site by breeding guillemot and razorbill to identify barriers to success and target the adapative management measures.
 - b) in the event that the undertaker must implement bycatch reduction measures
 - details of relevant technology supply agreements and arrangements with fishers to uptake the bycatch reduction technology that will or has been secured;



- ii. an implementation timetable for provision of the bycatch reduction measures that ensures that the measures are in place prior to the operation of any turbine forming part of the authorised development;
- iii. proposals for monitoring and reporting on the effectiveness of the measures, including the collection of data from participating fishers;
- iv. recording of H4 OOEG consultations;
- v. details of any adaptive management measures and details of the factors used to trigger adaptive management measures for each species; and
- vi. provision for annual reporting to the Secretary of State, to identify barriers to success and target the adapative management measures.
- 9. The undertaker must implement the compensation measures as set out in the GGRIMP approved by the Secretary of State.
- 10. The undertaker must notify the Secretary of State of completion of implementation of the measures set out in the GGRIMP.
- 11. The GGRIMP approved under this Schedule includes any amendments that may subsequently be approved in writing by the Secretary of State. Any amendments to or variations of the approved GGRIMP must be in accordance with the principles set out in the gannet, guilemot and razorbill compensation plan and may only be approved where it has been demonsrated to the sastisfaction of the Secretary of State that it is unlikely to give rise to any materially new or matterially different environmental effects from those considered in the kittiwake compensation plan.

PART 4

Fish Habitat Enhancement

12. No turbine forming part of the authorised development may begin operation until the fish habitat enhancement measures have been implemented in accordance with the principles as set out in the GKIMP and the GGRIMP (as relevant).

9 Funding

9.1.1.1 The Applicant has identified the costs associated with the implementation of the proposed compensation measure. These costs have been included within a detailed Derogation Funding Statement (B2.10 RP Volume B2 Chapter 10 Without Prejudice Derogation Funding Statement). This statement is supplemental to the Funding Statement (E1.1 CA Volume E1.1 Funding Statement) submitted as part of the suite of Application documents. The Funding Statement(s) outline the overall project cost based on the capital expenditure and operational expenditure assumptions in the "Review of Renewable Electricity Generation Cost and Technical Assumptions" (DECC 2016). The Funding Statement(s) also detail the corporate structure and a robust explanation to allow the SoS to conclude that the necessary funding to deliver the compensation measure can be secured.



10 Conclusion

10.1.1.1 The Applicant is confident that the compensation measure is viable, will be effective and can be delivered. The Applicant will continue stakeholder engagement to demonstrate the design and implementation of the bycatch reduction project and ensure the compensation measure can be readily achieved and secured.



11 References

Anderson, O., Small, C., Croxall, J., Dunn, E., Sullivan, B., Yates, O., and Black, A. (2011), 'Global seabird bycatch in longline fisheries', Endangered Species Research, 14/2: 91-106.

Croxall, J., Butchart, S., Lascelles, B., Stattersfield, A., Sullivan, B., Symes, A. and Taylor, P. (2012), 'Seabird conservation status, threats and priority actions: a global assessment', Bird Conservation International, 22/1: 1-34.

Miles, J., Parsons, M. and O'Brien, S. (2020), 'Preliminary assessment of seabird population response to potential bycatch mitigation in the UK-registered fishing fleet', Report prepared for the Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (Project Code ME6024).

Pott, C. and Wiedenfeld, D. (2017), 'Information gaps limit our understanding of seabird bycatch in global fisheries', Biological Conservation, 210: 192-204.

Žydelis, R., Small, C. and French, G. (2013), 'The incidental catch of seabirds in gillnet fisheries: A global review', Biological Conservation, 162: 76-88.

Doc. No: B2.8.2 Ver. no. A